

Servicing Allocation Policy Comparison (Existing 2012 Policy to 2025 Update)

Topic	Existing Policy	Updated Policy
Purpose and Goals	Yes	Very similar. Added 1 goal. New definitions
Scope	Urban Areas, incl. Courtland	Same (OP) + any area future serviced area
No Devt w/out Servicing	Yes, holdings, agreements, exemptions	Same
Reserve Capacity (Infill)	“Some” allocation for minor infill (CofA)	In accordance with OP targets (25%)
Application Process	Annual requests	Through development process*
Allocation Commitment	Annual determination by GM/Team	Development agreement
Competing Allocation	9 evaluation criteria	Infill first, then 9 updated evaluation criteria
Front-ending / Phasing	Yes / Yes	Yes / Yes
“Use it or Lose It” Timing	3 years from draft approval	3 years from agreement (to first permit)
Delegation	GM, Public Works	GM, Community & Development Services
Review Team	Engineering, Planning	Engineering, Enviro Services, Planning

**Pre-con = “No status” (comments); Applications = “Pending” (comments); Draft approval/conditional SP = “Approved in Principle” (conditions); Development Agreement = “Committed” (agreement conditions). Allocation granted tied to the land.*